

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/715,969 11/18/2003 James D. Ralph F-305 5368 **EXAMINER** 36402 7590 04/21/2005 SPINECORE, INC. BLANCO, JAVIER G 447 SPRINGFIELD AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITES W2-W3 SUMMIT, NJ 07901 3738

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\hookrightarrow	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/715,969	RALPH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Javier G. Blanco	3738	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		·	
- If th - If No - Fail Any	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AE	ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	pril 2005.		
2a)□				
3) 🗌				
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7)				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠	oxtimes The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2003 is/are: a) $oxtimes$ accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachme	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/08/2005</u> .	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 8, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicants' cancellation of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on April 8, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 3. Applicants' addition of claims 8-21 in the reply filed on April 8, 2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

Therefore, the "at least one domed arch strip spring" (see newly added independent claim 8, line 5) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. According to several dictionaries, the term "domed" is defined as: "shaped like or forming a dome". According to several dictionaries, the term "dome" is defined as: "a vaulted roof having a circular, polygonal, or elliptical base and a generally hemispherical or

Art Unit: 3738

semispherical shape", "a large hemispherical roof or ceiling". The arch strip spring shown in Figures 4, 5, 7, and 8 does not show (or suggest) "at least one domed arch strip spring".

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Regarding claim 13, please substitute "are" (see line 5) with --is--. Appropriate correction is required.

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding newly added independent claim 8, the "at least one domed arch strip spring" (see line 5) was not disclosed/described/suggested in the specification (or parent case 09/982,148). The figures do not show this limitation either. According to several dictionaries, the term "domed" is defined as: "shaped like or forming a dome". According to several dictionaries, the term "dome" is defined as: "a vaulted roof having a circular, polygonal, or elliptical base and a generally hemispherical or semispherical shape", "a large hemispherical roof or ceiling". The arch strip spring shown in Figures 4, 5, 7, and 8 does not show (or suggest) "at least one domed arch strip spring".

Because of the procedure outlined in M.P.E.P. 2163.06 for interpreting the claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) once the aforementioned problem is corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,969

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 10, 11, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

a. Regarding claim 10, "the inner surfaces" (see line 3) lacks antecedent basis. Claim 11 depends

on claim 10.

b. Regarding claim 19, the limitation "normally" (see line 2) is indefinite as to the scope of what

"normally" means.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

April 18, 2005

David H. Willse Primary Examiner